

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/067,918		02/08/2002	Shigekazu Shuku	SAE-027	8669		
20374	7590	09/16/2003			O		
	KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK				EXAMINER		
SUITE 710 900 17TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006				HESS, BRUCE H			
WASHING	TON, DC	20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1774			
				DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	,		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/067,918 Shoku et al.

Examiner Group Art Unit Bruce Hess 1774

Office Action Summary	B, ve	Hocc	1774	.
				idress—
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet	beneath the co	rrespondence at	
Period for Reply	04):) FROM THE MAI	I ING DATE
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory mi	nimum of thirty (30)	te of this communical	ion .
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			-	
				osed in
 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 				
Disposition of Claims		is/are	e pending in the ap	oplication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn from (consideration.
		IS/ai	e anowou.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/ar	e rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/ar	e objected to.	and
☐ Claim(s)		are	subject to restriction	on x election
Application Papers				
	Review, PTO-948			
The arranged drawing correction, filed on	is lappio	AGG COOPPIG	ovea.	•
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Exam	ner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		0(a) (d)		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority us All Some None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority docume	ents have been		
received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb ☐ received in this national stage application from the Int	ar)		(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)				_
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	☐ Interview S	Summary, PTO-41	S Stanker DTO 45
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		□ Houce or r	nformal Patent Ap	plication, P10-15
☐ Notice of Profession's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48	☐ Other		
	ce Action Summa			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,918

Art Unit: 1774

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to articles, classified in class 503, subclass 200.
- II. Claims 12-16, drawn to processes, classified in class 156, subclass 235.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (e.g., smooth the protective layer after transfer).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. All claims are additionally subject to the following election of species.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Recording material and process of making the same wherein the recording material comprises in order

- A. A support, a heat-sensitive recording layer and a protective layer (claims 1-5);
- B. A support, a heat-sensitive recording layer an adhesive layer and a protective layer (claims 7 and 9-11);

Application/Control Number: 10/067,918

Art Unit: 1774

C. A support, an adhesive layer, a heat-sensitive recording layer and a protective layer;

- D. A support, a heat-sensitive recording layer, an intermediate layer and a protective layer;
- E. A support, a heat-sensitive recording layer, an intermediate layer, an adhesive layer and a protective layer; and
- F. A support, an adhesive layer, an intermediate layer, a heat-sensitive recording layer and a protective layer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 6, 8 and 12-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/067,918

Art Unit: 1774

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Hess whose telephone number is (703) 308-2402. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

B. Hess/mn September 9, 2003

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER